Superintendent of Stationery and Account Section.

353. **MR. M. N. PILLAI** (*Trivandrum*): (1) Will the Government be pleased to state the reason or reasons for placing the establishment section of the Huzur Secretariat under the charge of the Superintendent, Stationery Department?

(2) Is the Stationery Superintendent a member of the Secretariat staff?

(3) What are his special qualifications to be in charge of the establishment section of the Huzur Secretariat?

(4) Are the Government aware of the fact that this arrangement has created much heart-burning and discontent among the members of the Secretariat staff, that the injurious and pernicious effect of the arrangement was criticised by the Press and the Members of the last Council and that the late Director of Office Systems, Mr. V. Sekhara Menon, strongly recommended that this arrangement should be discontinued and that the Account Section should be placed under the Secretary in charge of the General Section as before?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Ag. Chief Secretary to Government*): (1), (2), (3) and (4) Orders have been recently passed by the Government modifying the present arrangement and placing the establishment section of the Secretariat under an Assistant Secretary to Government.

Krishnapuram Munsiff's Court.

354. **MR. A. K. PILLAI** (*Karunagapalli*): (i) Will the Government be pleased to say if they have ordered or contemplate to order the shifting of the Munsiff's Court of Krishnapuram from its present site to Kayankulam?

(ii) If the answer to the above question is in the affirmative, will the Government kindly state the reasons for the contemplated change?

(iii) Are the Government aware that the idea of shifting the court to the proposed site at Kayankulam is viewed with deep dissatisfaction and distress by the ever so large a section of the public that is under the court's jurisdiction?

(iv) Do the Government know that as a matter of fact the present site has been chosen for its healthy and central situation, and that the court has been remaining there now for over sixty years?

(v) Do the Government further know that the site at Kayankulam, to which the change is contemplated, is the most unhealthy quarter within the whole area of the court's present jurisdiction?

(vi) Have the Government received any representation from the northern *pakuthies* of Karunagapalli taluk protesting against the contemplated change?

(vii) Were the Government aware of the public meeting held at Krishnapuram to protest against the proposed change at the time of passing orders on the question?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly